

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/859,718	05/17/2001	James M. Gust	GRD0122.CIP	GRD0122.CIP 4110	
7:	590 03/13/2002				
Todd T. Taylor			EXAMINER		
TAYLOR & A 142 S. Main St			PATEL, DHIRUBHAI R		
P.O. Box 560 Avilla, IN 467	710		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Avina, IIV 40	, 10		2831		

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/859,718	GUST ET AL.	M				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		DHIRU R PATEL	2831					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess				
Exte after If the Failu Any I	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDOME	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm	nunication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	anuary 2002 .	•					
2a)		s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the n	nerits is				
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	101110 10				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 Application	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) 🔲 🗸	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
1	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152	· ?)				
U.S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev.		n Summary	Part of Pape	er No. 5				

Art Unit: 2831

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 7-10,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Guginsky (5,283,393).

Guginsky discloses: an electrical assembly 10, comprising;

Regarding claim 1, at least one electrical conductor 29 (see fig 1), a flexible electrical tubing 11 having an end (conduit, see fig 1, column 3 lines 25-27, and Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary page 296 define "conduit" as a tube), said tubing loosely carrying said at least one electrical conductor 29(see fig 1); and an electrical component 12 (fitting, see fig 1, column 3 lines 35-40) associated with said at least one electrical conductor 29 (see fig 1), said electrical component hermetically sealing said tubing end (see fig 1, column 2 lines 10-20, lines 45-60, and column 3 lines 35-45).

Regarding claim 2, said tubing including an inner surface (see fig 1), said electrical component hermetically sealing with said inner surface (see column 2 lines 45-60).

Art Unit: 2831

Regarding claim 3, said electrical component comprising an electrical connector (see column 4 lines 33-36) having at least one electrical terminal (inherent properties of a connector), and capable of functioning as claimed by inventor.

Regarding claims 7 and 13, said tubing including a non- smooth inner surface (see fig 1), said electrical component (for claim 7) and said electrical connector (for claim 13) having an outer periphery which is in continuous, intimate physical contact with said inner surface (see fig 2). Regarding claim 8, Guginsky disclosed all the feature of the claimed invention. With respect to said electrical component formed by the process of insert molding with said tubing. The presence of process limitations in product claims, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to the product. In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965).

Regarding claim 9, see fig 1.

Regarding claim 10, an electrical assembly 10, comprising;

at least one electrical conductor 29 (see fig 1), a flexible electrical tubing 11 having an end (conduit, see fig 1, column 3 lines 25-27 and Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary page 296 define "conduit" as a tube), said tubing loosely carrying said at least one electrical conductor 29 (see fig 1); and an electrical connector 12 (see column 4 lines 33-36) having at least one electrical terminal (inherent properties of a connector), said electrical component hermetically sealing said tubing end (see fig 1, see fig 1, column 2 lines 10-20, lines 45-60, and column 3 lines 35-45).

Art Unit: 2831

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 4- 6, 11-12,14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Guginsky (5,283,393) in view of Shimirak et al. (4,701,574).

Regarding claim 4, Guginsky disclose all the features of the claimed invention as shown above, but fails to disclose a plug and said at least one electrical conductor sealed with said plug. Shimirak et al teach the use of a plug 12 (see fig 2) in order to seal a cable (see column 3 lines 25-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

Serial Number: 09/859718

Art Unit: 2831

the time the invention was made to provide the said electrical component of the assembly of Guginsky with a plug as taught by Shimirak et al. in order to seal the conductor 29. Regarding claims 5-6, 11-12, 15 and 16, Guginsky disclose all the features of the claimed invention as shown above, but fails to disclose at least one annular projection engaged with said inner surface for claims 5, 11 and 15 for said electrical component, said electrical connector, and said plug respectively, and a plurality of annular projections for claims 6, 12, and 16 for said electrical component, said electrical connector, and said plug respectively. Shimirak et al teach the use of a plurality of projections 88 (see fig 3) in order to prevent positively water or moisture from propagating axially down the end seal along an outer surface 88 of the end seals (see column 4 lines 52-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the said electrical component, said electrical connector, and said plug of the assembly of Guginsky (for claims 5-6, 11-12) and the modified assembly of the Guginsky (for claims 15-16) with at least one annular projection (for claims 5, 11 and 15) and a plurality of annular projections (for claims 6, 12, and 16) as taught by Shimirak et al in order to prevent positively water or moisture from propagating axially down the end seal along an outer surface of the end seals.

Page 5

Regarding claim 14, Guginsky discloses at least one electrical conductor 29 (see fig 1), a flexible electrical tubing 11 having an end (conduit, see fig 1, column 3 lines 25-27), said tubing loosely carrying said at least one electrical conductor (see fig 1). Guginsky fail to disclose a plug hermetically sealing said tubing . Shimirak et al teach the use of a plug 12 hermetically sealing a tubing 18 (see fig 2) in order to seal a cable (see column 3 lines 25-34). Serial Number: 09/859718 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the said electrical component of the assembly of Guginsky with a plug as taught by Shimirak et al in order to seal the conductor 29.

Regarding claims 17, said tubing including a non- smooth inner surface (see fig 1), said electrical component having an outer periphery which is in continuous, intimate physical contact with said inner surface (see fig 2).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 1/11/02 for claims 1-17 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to arguments on pages 2-4 that Guginsk does not teach or suggest an electrical component hermetically sealing the tubing ends, and as in the applicant's invention is distinct from hermetically sealing a conduit to another conduit. The examiner disagrees because Guginsk clearly show an electrical component hermetically sealing the tubing ends as shown above, and Webster's II New Riverside University

Dictionary page 296 define "conduit" as a tube. With respect to arguments on pages 3-4 that applicant's invention hermetically seals the end of the tubing not allowing the routing of conductors after the seal is in place, examiner agreed, nevertheless, this is not in claim limitations, and it is believed that the rejection should be sustained, and with respect to arguments for claims 4-6, 11-12, 14-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Contact information

Serial Number: 09/859718

Art Unit: 2831

Page 7

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dhiru Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308 -3748. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays- Thursdays from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard be reached at 703-308-3682. The fax number for this Group is 703-305-3431. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Dhiru Patel Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2831 March 5, 2002

> DEAN A. REICHARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800